### 4.5: Proving the Correctness of Grammars

In this section, we consider techniques for proving the correctness of grammars, i.e., for proving that grammars generate the languages we want them to.

Suppose *G* is a grammar and  $a \in Q_G \cup \text{alphabet } G$ . Then  $\prod_{G,a} = \{ w \in (\text{alphabet } G)^* \mid w \text{ is parsable from } a \text{ using } G \}$ . If it's clear which grammar we are talking about, we often abbreviate  $\prod_{G,a}$  to  $\prod_a$ .

Clearly,  $\Pi_{G,s_G} =$ 

Suppose *G* is a grammar and  $a \in Q_G \cup \text{alphabet } G$ . Then  $\Pi_{G,a} = \{ w \in (\text{alphabet } G)^* \mid w \text{ is parsable from } a \text{ using } G \}.$ If it's clear which grammar we are talking about, we often abbreviate  $\Pi_{G,a}$  to  $\Pi_a$ .

Clearly,  $\Pi_{G,s_G} = L(G)$ .

Suppose *G* is a grammar and  $a \in Q_G \cup \text{alphabet } G$ . Then  $\Pi_{G,a} = \{ w \in (\text{alphabet } G)^* \mid w \text{ is parsable from } a \text{ using } G \}$ . If it's clear which grammar we are talking about, we often abbreviate  $\Pi_{G,a}$  to  $\Pi_a$ .

Clearly,  $\Pi_{G,s_G} = L(G)$ .

For example, if G is the grammar

 $\mathsf{A} \to \% \mid \mathsf{0A1}$ 

then  $\Pi_0 =$ 

Suppose *G* is a grammar and  $a \in Q_G \cup \text{alphabet } G$ . Then  $\Pi_{G,a} = \{ w \in (\text{alphabet } G)^* \mid w \text{ is parsable from } a \text{ using } G \}$ . If it's clear which grammar we are talking about, we often abbreviate  $\Pi_{G,a}$  to  $\Pi_a$ .

Clearly,  $\Pi_{G,s_G} = L(G)$ .

For example, if G is the grammar

 $\mathsf{A} \to \% \mid \mathsf{0A1}$ 

then  $\Pi_0 = \{0\}$ 

Suppose *G* is a grammar and  $a \in Q_G \cup \text{alphabet } G$ . Then  $\Pi_{G,a} = \{ w \in (\text{alphabet } G)^* \mid w \text{ is parsable from } a \text{ using } G \}$ . If it's clear which grammar we are talking about, we often abbreviate  $\Pi_{G,a}$  to  $\Pi_a$ .

Clearly,  $\Pi_{G,s_G} = L(G)$ .

For example, if G is the grammar

 $\mathsf{A} \to \% \mid \mathsf{0A1}$ 

then  $\Pi_0=\{0\},\ \Pi_1=\{1\}$  and  $\Pi_A=$ 

Suppose *G* is a grammar and  $a \in Q_G \cup \text{alphabet } G$ . Then  $\Pi_{G,a} = \{ w \in (\text{alphabet } G)^* \mid w \text{ is parsable from } a \text{ using } G \}$ . If it's clear which grammar we are talking about, we often abbreviate  $\Pi_{G,a}$  to  $\Pi_a$ .

Clearly,  $\Pi_{G,s_G} = L(G)$ .

For example, if G is the grammar

 $A \rightarrow \% \mid 0A1$ 

then  $\Pi_0 = \{0\}$ ,  $\Pi_1 = \{1\}$  and  $\Pi_A = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} =$ 

Suppose *G* is a grammar and  $a \in Q_G \cup \text{alphabet } G$ . Then  $\Pi_{G,a} = \{ w \in (\text{alphabet } G)^* \mid w \text{ is parsable from } a \text{ using } G \}$ . If it's clear which grammar we are talking about, we often abbreviate  $\Pi_{G,a}$  to  $\Pi_a$ .

Clearly,  $\Pi_{G,s_G} = L(G)$ .

For example, if G is the grammar

 $\mathsf{A} \to \% \mid \mathsf{0A1}$ 

then  $\Pi_0 = \{0\}$ ,  $\Pi_1 = \{1\}$  and  $\Pi_A = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} = L(G)$ .

Proposition 4.5.1 Suppose G is a grammar. (1) For all  $a \in alphabet G$ ,  $\Pi_{G,a} =$ (2) For all  $q \in Q_G$ , if  $q \to \% \in P_G$ , then  $\in \Pi_{G,q}$ . (3) For all  $q \in Q_G$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in Sym$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in Str$ , if  $q \to a_1 \cdots a_n \in P_G$  and  $w_1 \in \Pi_{G,a_1}, \dots, w_n \in \Pi_{G,a_n}$ , then  $\in \Pi_{G,q}$ .

# Proposition 4.5.1 Suppose G is a grammar. (1) For all $a \in alphabet G$ , $\Pi_{G,a} = \{a\}$ . (2) For all $q \in Q_G$ , if $q \to \% \in P_G$ , then $\in \Pi_{G,q}$ . (3) For all $q \in Q_G$ , $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ , $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in Sym$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in Str$ , if $q \to a_1 \cdots a_n \in P_G$ and $w_1 \in \Pi_{G,a_1}, \ldots, w_n \in \Pi_{G,a_n}$ , then $\in \Pi_{G,q}$ .

# Proposition 4.5.1 Suppose G is a grammar. (1) For all $a \in alphabet G$ , $\Pi_{G,a} = \{a\}$ . (2) For all $q \in Q_G$ , if $q \to \% \in P_G$ , then $\% \in \Pi_{G,q}$ . (3) For all $q \in Q_G$ , $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ , $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in Sym$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in Str$ , if $q \to a_1 \cdots a_n \in P_G$ and $w_1 \in \Pi_{G,a_1}, \ldots, w_n \in \Pi_{G,a_n}$ , then $\in \Pi_{G,q}$ .

# Proposition 4.5.1 Suppose G is a grammar. (1) For all $a \in \text{alphabet } G, \Pi_{G,a} = \{a\}$ . (2) For all $q \in Q_G$ , if $q \to \% \in P_G$ , then $\% \in \Pi_{G,q}$ . (3) For all $q \in Q_G$ , $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ , $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \text{Sym and}$ $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \text{Str}$ , if $q \to a_1 \cdots a_n \in P_G$ and $w_1 \in \Pi_{G,a_1}, \ldots, w_n \in \Pi_{G,a_n}$ , then $w_1 \cdots w_n \in \Pi_{G,q}$ .

Define diff  $\in \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{Z}$  by: for all  $w \in \{0,1\}^*$ ,

**diff** w = the number of 1's in w – the number of 0's in w.

Then:

- diff % = 0;
- diff 1 = 1;
- **diff** 0 = -1; and
- for all  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , diff(xy) = diff x + diff y.

Our main example will be the grammar G:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{A} &\rightarrow \% \mid \mathsf{0BA} \mid \mathsf{1CA}, \\ \mathsf{B} &\rightarrow \mathsf{1} \mid \mathsf{0BB}, \\ \mathsf{C} &\rightarrow \mathsf{0} \mid \mathsf{1CC}. \end{split}$$

Let

 $X = \{ w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \text{diff } w = 0 \},$  $Y = \{ w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \text{diff } w = 1 \text{ and,}$ 

 $Z = \{ w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \text{diff } w = -1 \text{ and}, \}$ 

We will prove that  $L(G) = \prod_{G,A} = X$ ,  $\prod_{G,B} = Y$  and  $\prod_{G,C} = Z$ .

Our main example will be the grammar G:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{A} &\rightarrow \% \mid \mathsf{0BA} \mid \mathsf{1CA}, \\ \mathsf{B} &\rightarrow \mathsf{1} \mid \mathsf{0BB}, \\ \mathsf{C} &\rightarrow \mathsf{0} \mid \mathsf{1CC}. \end{split}$$

#### Let

 $X = \{ w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \text{diff } w = 0 \},$   $Y = \{ w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \text{diff } w = 1 \text{ and},$ for all proper prefixes v of w, diff v \le 0 \},  $Z = \{ w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \text{diff } w = -1 \text{ and},$ for all proper prefixes v of w, diff v \ge 0 \}.

We will prove that  $L(G) = \prod_{G,A} = X$ ,  $\prod_{G,B} = Y$  and  $\prod_{G,C} = Z$ .

#### Lemma 4.5.2 Suppose $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ . (1) If diff $x \ge 1$ , then x = yz for some $y, z \in \{0,1\}^*$ such that $y \in Y$ and diff z = diff x - 1. (2) If diff $x \le -1$ , then x = yz for some $y, z \in \{0,1\}^*$ such that $y \in Z$ and diff z = diff x + 1.

The proof is in the book.

### Proving that Enough is Generated

First we study techniques for showing that everything we want a grammar to generate is really generated.

Since  $X, Y, Z \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ , to prove that  $X \subseteq \prod_{G,A}$ ,  $Y \subseteq \prod_{G,B}$  and  $Z \subseteq \prod_{G,C}$ , it will suffice to use strong string induction to show that, for all  $w \in \{0,1\}^*$ :

(A) if  $w \in X$ , then  $w \in \Pi_{G,A}$ ; (B) if  $w \in Y$ , then  $w \in \Pi_{G,B}$ ; and (C) if  $w \in Z$ , then  $w \in \Pi_{G,C}$ .

We proceed by strong string induction. Suppose  $w \in \{0,1\}^*$ , and assume the inductive hypothesis: for all  $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ , if x is a proper substring of w, then:

(A) if  $x \in X$ , then  $x \in \Pi_A$ ;

(B) if  $x \in Y$ , then  $x \in \Pi_B$ ; and

(C) if  $x \in Z$ , then  $x \in \Pi_{C}$ .

We must prove that:

(A) if  $w \in X$ , then  $w \in \Pi_A$ ; (B) if  $w \in Y$ , then  $w \in \Pi_B$ ; and (C) if  $w \in Z$ , then  $w \in \Pi_C$ .

(A) Suppose  $w \in X$ . We must show that  $w \in \Pi_A$ . There are three cases to consider.

• Suppose w = %. Because  $A \to \% \in P$ , we have that  $w = \% \in \Pi_A$ .

(A) Suppose  $w \in X$ . We must show that  $w \in \Pi_A$ . There are three cases to consider.

- Suppose w = %. Because  $A \to \% \in P$ , we have that  $w = \% \in \Pi_A$ .
- Suppose w = 0x, for some  $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ . Because  $-1 + \operatorname{diff} x = \operatorname{diff} w = 0$ , we have that  $\operatorname{diff} x = 1$ . Thus, by Lemma 4.5.2(1), we have that x = yz, for some  $y, z \in \{0,1\}^*$ such that  $y \in Y$  and  $\operatorname{diff} z = \operatorname{diff} x - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0$ . Thus w = 0yz,  $y \in Y$  and  $z \in X$ . We have  $0 \in \Pi_0$ . Because  $y \in Y$ and  $z \in X$

(A) Suppose  $w \in X$ . We must show that  $w \in \Pi_A$ . There are three cases to consider.

- Suppose w = %. Because  $A \to \% \in P$ , we have that  $w = \% \in \Pi_A$ .
- Suppose w = 0x, for some x ∈ {0,1}\*. Because -1 + diff x = diff w = 0, we have that diff x = 1. Thus, by Lemma 4.5.2(1), we have that x = yz, for some y, z ∈ {0,1}\* such that y ∈ Y and diff z = diff x - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0. Thus w = 0yz, y ∈ Y and z ∈ X. We have 0 ∈ Π₀. Because y ∈ Y and z ∈ X are proper substrings of w, parts (B) and (A) of the inductive hypothesis tell us that y ∈ Π<sub>B</sub> and z ∈ Π<sub>A</sub>. Thus, because A → 0BA ∈ P, it follows that that w = 0yz ∈ Π<sub>A</sub>.

(A) Suppose  $w \in X$ . We must show that  $w \in \Pi_A$ . There are three cases to consider.

- Suppose w = %. Because  $A \to \% \in P$ , we have that  $w = \% \in \Pi_A$ .
- Suppose w = 0x, for some x ∈ {0,1}\*. Because -1 + diff x = diff w = 0, we have that diff x = 1. Thus, by Lemma 4.5.2(1), we have that x = yz, for some y, z ∈ {0,1}\* such that y ∈ Y and diff z = diff x - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0. Thus w = 0yz, y ∈ Y and z ∈ X. We have 0 ∈ Π₀. Because y ∈ Y and z ∈ X are proper substrings of w, parts (B) and (A) of the inductive hypothesis tell us that y ∈ Π<sub>B</sub> and z ∈ Π<sub>A</sub>. Thus, because A → 0BA ∈ P, it follows that that w = 0yz ∈ Π<sub>A</sub>.
- Suppose w = 1x, for some x ∈ {0,1}\*. The proof is analogous to the preceding case.

- (B) Suppose  $w \in Y$ . We must show that  $w \in \Pi_B$ . Because diff w = 1, there are two cases to consider.
  - Suppose w = 1x, for some  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ .

- (B) Suppose  $w \in Y$ . We must show that  $w \in \Pi_B$ . Because diff w = 1, there are two cases to consider.
  - Suppose w = 1x, for some x ∈ {0,1}\*. Because all proper prefixes of w have diffs ≤ 0, we have that

- (B) Suppose  $w \in Y$ . We must show that  $w \in \Pi_B$ . Because diff w = 1, there are two cases to consider.
  - Suppose w = 1x, for some x ∈ {0,1}\*. Because all proper prefixes of w have diffs ≤ 0, we have that x = %, so that w = 1. Since B → 1 ∈ P, we have that w = 1 ∈ Π<sub>B</sub>.

- (B) Suppose  $w \in Y$ . We must show that  $w \in \Pi_B$ . Because diff w = 1, there are two cases to consider.
  - Suppose w = 1x, for some x ∈ {0,1}\*. Because all proper prefixes of w have diffs ≤ 0, we have that x = %, so that w = 1. Since B → 1 ∈ P, we have that w = 1 ∈ Π<sub>B</sub>.
  - Suppose w = 0x, for some  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ . Thus diff x = 2. Because diff  $x \ge 1$ , by Lemma 4.5.2(1), we have that x = yz, for some  $y, z \in \{0, 1\}^*$  such that  $y \in Y$  and diff z = diff x - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1. Hence w = 0yz.

- (B) Suppose  $w \in Y$ . We must show that  $w \in \Pi_B$ . Because diff w = 1, there are two cases to consider.
  - Suppose w = 1x, for some x ∈ {0,1}\*. Because all proper prefixes of w have diffs ≤ 0, we have that x = %, so that w = 1. Since B → 1 ∈ P, we have that w = 1 ∈ Π<sub>B</sub>.
  - Suppose w = 0x, for some  $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ . Thus diff x = 2. Because diff  $x \ge 1$ , by Lemma 4.5.2(1), we have that x = yz, for some  $y, z \in \{0,1\}^*$  such that  $y \in Y$  and diff z = diff x - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1. Hence w = 0yz. To finish the proof that  $z \in Y$ , suppose v is a proper prefix of z. Thus 0yv is a proper prefix of w. Since  $w \in Y$ , it follows that diff  $v = \text{diff}(0yv) \le 0$ , as required. Since  $y, z \in Y$ , part (B) of the inductive hypothesis tell us that  $y, z \in \Pi_B$ . Thus, because  $B \to 0BB \in P$  we have that  $w = 0yz \in \Pi_B$ .

- (B) Suppose  $w \in Y$ . We must show that  $w \in \Pi_B$ . Because diff w = 1, there are two cases to consider.
  - Suppose w = 1x, for some x ∈ {0,1}\*. Because all proper prefixes of w have diffs ≤ 0, we have that x = %, so that w = 1. Since B → 1 ∈ P, we have that w = 1 ∈ Π<sub>B</sub>.
  - Suppose w = 0x, for some  $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ . Thus diff x = 2. Because diff  $x \ge 1$ , by Lemma 4.5.2(1), we have that x = yz, for some  $y, z \in \{0,1\}^*$  such that  $y \in Y$  and diff z = diff x - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1. Hence w = 0yz. To finish the proof that  $z \in Y$ , suppose v is a proper prefix of z. Thus 0yv is a proper prefix of w. Since  $w \in Y$ , it follows that diff  $v = \text{diff}(0yv) \le 0$ , as required. Since  $y, z \in Y$ , part (B) of the inductive hypothesis tell us that  $y, z \in \Pi_B$ . Thus, because  $B \to 0BB \in P$  we have that  $w = 0yz \in \Pi_B$ .

(C) Suppose  $w \in Z$ . We must show that  $w \in \Pi_C$ . The proof is analogous to the proof of part (B).

Suppose H is the grammar

 $\mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{B} \mid \mathsf{0A3}, \qquad \mathsf{B} \to \% \mid \mathsf{1B2},$ 

and let

 $X = \{ 0^{n} 1^{m} 2^{m} 3^{n} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N} \} \text{ and } Y = \{ 1^{m} 2^{m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N} \}.$ 

Suppose H is the grammar

 $A \rightarrow B \mid 0A3$ ,  $B \rightarrow \% \mid 1B2$ ,

and let

 $X = \{ 0^{n}1^{m}2^{m}3^{n} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N} \} \text{ and } Y = \{ 1^{m}2^{m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N} \}.$ We can prove that  $X \subseteq \Pi_{H,A} = L(H)$  and  $Y \subseteq \Pi_{H,B}$  using the above technique, but the production  $A \to B$ , which is called a *unit* production because its right side is a single variable, makes part (A) tricky. If  $w = 0^{0}1^{m}2^{m}3^{0} = 1^{m}2^{m} \in Y$ , we would like to use part (B) of the inductive hypothesis to conclude  $w \in \Pi_{B}$ , and then use the fact that  $A \to B \in P$  to conclude that  $w \in \Pi_{A}$ .

Suppose H is the grammar

 $A \rightarrow B \mid 0A3$ ,  $B \rightarrow \% \mid 1B2$ ,

and let

 $X = \{ 0^{n} 1^{m} 2^{m} 3^{n} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N} \} \text{ and } Y = \{ 1^{m} 2^{m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N} \}.$ We can prove that  $X \subseteq \prod_{H,A} = L(H)$  and  $Y \subseteq \prod_{H,B}$  using the above technique, but the production  $A \rightarrow B$ , which is called a *unit* production because its right side is a single variable, makes part (A) tricky. If  $w = 0^0 1^m 2^m 3^0 = 1^m 2^m \in Y$ , we would like to use part (B) of the inductive hypothesis to conclude  $w \in \Pi_{B}$ , and then use the fact that  $A \to B \in P$  to conclude that  $w \in \Pi_A$ . But w is not a proper substring of itself, and so the inductive hypothesis in not applicable. Instead, we must split into cases m = 0 and  $m \ge 1$ , using A  $\rightarrow$  B and B  $\rightarrow$  %, in the first case, and  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $B \rightarrow 1B2$ , as well as the inductive hypothesis on  $1^{m-1}2^{m-1} \in Y$ , in the second case.

4 69 1

11/20

Because there are no productions from B back to A, we could also first use strong string induction to prove that, for all  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , (B) if  $w \in Y$ , then  $w \in \Pi_B$ ,

and then use the result of this induction along with strong string induction to prove that for all  $w \in \{0,1\}^*$ ,

(A) if  $w \in X$ , then  $w \in \Pi_A$ .

This works whenever two parts of a grammar are not mutually recursive.

Because there are no productions from B back to A, we could also first use strong string induction to prove that, for all  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , (B) if  $w \in Y$ , then  $w \in \Pi_B$ ,

and then use the result of this induction along with strong string induction to prove that for all  $w \in \{0,1\}^*$ ,

(A) if  $w \in X$ , then  $w \in \Pi_A$ .

This works whenever two parts of a grammar are not mutually recursive.

With this grammar, we could also first use mathematical induction to prove that, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1^m 2^m \in \Pi_B$ , and then use the result of this induction to prove, by mathematical induction on *n*, that for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0^n 1^m 2^m 3^n \in \Pi_A$ .

### A Problem with %-Productions

Note that %-productions, i.e., productions of the form  $q \rightarrow \%$ , can cause similar problems to those caused by unit productions. E.g., if we have the productions

$$A \rightarrow BC$$
 and  $B \rightarrow \%$ ,

then  $A \rightarrow BC$  behaves like a unit production.

### Proving that Everything Generated is Wanted

To prove that everything generated by a grammar is wanted, we introduce a new induction principle that we call induction on  $\Pi$ .

**Theorem 4.5.3 (Principle of Induction on**  $\Pi$ ) Suppose *G* is a grammar,  $P_q(w)$  is a property of a string  $w \in \prod_{G,q}$ , for all  $q \in Q_G$ , and  $P_a(w)$ , for  $a \in \text{alphabet } G$ , says "w = a". If

- (1) for all  $q \in Q_G$ , if  $q \to \% \in P_G$ , then  $P_q(\%)$ , and
- (2) for all  $q \in Q_G$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \{0\}$ ,  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in Q_G \cup \text{alphabet } G$ , and  $w_1 \in \prod_{G,a_1}, \ldots, w_n \in \prod_{G,a_n}$ , if  $q \to a_1 \cdots a_n \in P_G$  and (†) then  $P_q(w_1 \cdots w_n)$ ,

then

for all 
$$q \in Q_G$$
, for all  $w \in \prod_{G,q}, P_q(w)$ .

We refer to (†) as the inductive hypothesis.

**Theorem 4.5.3 (Principle of Induction on**  $\Pi$ ) Suppose *G* is a grammar,  $P_q(w)$  is a property of a string  $w \in \prod_{G,q}$ , for all  $q \in Q_G$ , and  $P_a(w)$ , for  $a \in \text{alphabet } G$ , says "w = a". If

- (1) for all  $q \in Q_G$ , if  $q \to \% \in P_G$ , then  $P_q(\%)$ , and
- (2) for all  $q \in Q_G$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \{0\}$ ,  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in Q_G \cup \text{alphabet } G$ , and  $w_1 \in \prod_{G,a_1}, \ldots, w_n \in \prod_{G,a_n}$ , if  $q \to a_1 \cdots a_n \in P_G$  and (†)  $P_{a_1}(w_1), \ldots, P_{a_n}(w_n)$ , then  $P_q(w_1 \cdots w_n)$ ,

then

for all 
$$q \in Q_G$$
, for all  $w \in \prod_{G,q}, P_q(w)$ .

We refer to (†) as the inductive hypothesis.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that, for all  $pt \in PT$ , for all  $q \in Q_G$  and  $w \in (alphabet G)^*$ , if pt is valid for G, **rootLabel** pt = q and **yield** pt = w, then  $P_q(w)$ . We prove this using the principle of induction on parse trees.  $\Box$ 

**Proof.** It suffices to show that, for all  $pt \in PT$ , for all  $q \in Q_G$  and  $w \in (alphabet G)^*$ , if pt is valid for G, **rootLabel** pt = q and **yield** pt = w, then  $P_q(w)$ . We prove this using the principle of induction on parse trees.  $\Box$ 

When proving part (2), we can make use of the fact that, for  $a_i \in \text{alphabet } G$ ,  $\prod_{a_i} = \{a_i\}$ , so that  $w_i \in \prod_{a_i}$  will be  $a_i$ . Hence it will be unnecessary to assume that  $P_{a_i}(a_i)$ , since this says " $a_i = a_i$ ", and so is always true.

Consider, again, our main example grammar G:

$$\begin{split} A &\rightarrow \% \mid 0 \text{BA} \mid 1 \text{CA}, \\ B &\rightarrow 1 \mid 0 \text{BB}, \\ C &\rightarrow 0 \mid 1 \text{CC}. \end{split}$$

#### Let

 $X = \{ w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \text{diff } w = 0 \},$   $Y = \{ w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \text{diff } w = 1 \text{ and,}$ for all proper prefixes v of w, diff  $v \le 0 \},$  and  $Z = \{ w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \text{diff } w = -1 \text{ and,}$ for all proper prefixes v of w, diff  $v \ge 0 \}.$ 

We have already proven that  $X \subseteq \Pi_A = L(G)$ ,  $Y \subseteq \Pi_B$  and  $Z \subseteq \Pi_C$ . To complete the proof that  $L(G) = \Pi_A = X$ ,  $\Pi_B = Y$  and  $\Pi_C = Z$ , we will use induction on  $\Pi$  to prove that  $\Pi_A \subseteq X$ ,  $\Pi_B \subseteq Y$  and  $\Pi_C \subseteq Z$ .

We use induction on  $\Pi$  to show that:

(A) for all  $w \in \Pi_A$ ,  $w \in X$ ;

(B) for all  $w \in \Pi_B$ ,  $w \in Y$ ; and

(C) for all  $w \in \Pi_{\mathsf{C}}, w \in \mathsf{Z}$ .

Formally, this means that we let the properties  $P_A(w)$ ,  $P_B(w)$  and  $P_C(w)$  be " $w \in X$ ", " $w \in Y$ " and " $w \in Z$ ", respectively, and then use the induction principle to prove that, for all  $q \in Q_G$ , for all  $w \in \Pi_q$ ,  $P_q(w)$ . But we will actually work more informally.

There are seven productions to consider.

(A→%) We must show that % ∈ X (as "w ∈ X" is the property of part (A)). And this holds since diff % = 0.

There are seven productions to consider.

- (A → %) We must show that % ∈ X (as "w ∈ X" is the property of part (A)). And this holds since diff % = 0.
- (A→0BA) Suppose w<sub>1</sub> ∈ Π<sub>B</sub> and w<sub>2</sub> ∈ Π<sub>A</sub> (as 0BA is the right-side of the production, and 0 is in G's alphabet), and assume the inductive hypothesis, w<sub>1</sub> ∈ Y (as this is the property of part (B)) and w<sub>2</sub> ∈ X (as this is the property of part (A)). We must show that 0w<sub>1</sub>w<sub>2</sub> ∈ X, as the production shows that 0w<sub>1</sub>w<sub>2</sub> ∈ Π<sub>A</sub>. Because w<sub>1</sub> ∈ Y and w<sub>2</sub> ∈ X, we have that diff w<sub>1</sub> = 1 and diff w<sub>2</sub> = 0. Thus diff(0w<sub>1</sub>w<sub>2</sub>) = -1 + 1 + 0 = 0, showing that 0w<sub>1</sub>w<sub>2</sub> ∈ X.

• (B  $\rightarrow$  0BB) Suppose  $w_1, w_2 \in \Pi_B$ , and assume the inductive hypothesis,  $w_1, w_2 \in Y$ . Thus  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are nonempty. We must show that  $0w_1w_2 \in Y$ . Clearly, diff $(0w_1w_2) = -1 + 1 + 1 = 1$ . So, suppose v is a proper prefix of  $0w_1w_2$ . We must show that diff  $v \leq 0$ .

- (B  $\rightarrow$  0BB) Suppose  $w_1, w_2 \in \Pi_B$ , and assume the inductive hypothesis,  $w_1, w_2 \in Y$ . Thus  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are nonempty. We must show that  $0w_1w_2 \in Y$ . Clearly, diff $(0w_1w_2) = -1 + 1 + 1 = 1$ . So, suppose v is a proper prefix of  $0w_1w_2$ . We must show that diff  $v \leq 0$ . There are three cases to consider.
  - Suppose v = %. Then **diff**  $v = 0 \le 0$ .

- (B  $\rightarrow$  0BB) Suppose  $w_1, w_2 \in \Pi_B$ , and assume the inductive hypothesis,  $w_1, w_2 \in Y$ . Thus  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are nonempty. We must show that  $0w_1w_2 \in Y$ . Clearly, diff $(0w_1w_2) = -1 + 1 + 1 = 1$ . So, suppose v is a proper prefix of  $0w_1w_2$ . We must show that diff  $v \leq 0$ . There are three cases to consider.
  - Suppose v = %. Then **diff**  $v = 0 \le 0$ .
  - Suppose v = 0u, for a proper prefix u of  $w_1$ . Because  $w_1 \in Y$ , we have that diff  $u \le 0$ . Thus diff  $v = -1 + \text{diff } u \le -1 + 0 \le 0$ .

- (B  $\rightarrow$  0BB) Suppose  $w_1, w_2 \in \Pi_B$ , and assume the inductive hypothesis,  $w_1, w_2 \in Y$ . Thus  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are nonempty. We must show that  $0w_1w_2 \in Y$ . Clearly, diff $(0w_1w_2) = -1 + 1 + 1 = 1$ . So, suppose v is a proper prefix of  $0w_1w_2$ . We must show that diff  $v \leq 0$ . There are three cases to consider.
  - Suppose v = %. Then **diff**  $v = 0 \le 0$ .
  - Suppose v = 0u, for a proper prefix u of  $w_1$ . Because  $w_1 \in Y$ , we have that diff  $u \le 0$ . Thus diff  $v = -1 + \text{diff } u \le -1 + 0 \le 0$ .
  - Suppose  $v = 0w_1u$ , for a proper prefix u of  $w_2$ . Because  $w_2 \in Y$ , we have that diff  $u \le 0$ . Thus diff  $v = -1 + 1 + \text{diff } u = \text{diff } u \le 0$ .

- (B  $\rightarrow$  0BB) Suppose  $w_1, w_2 \in \Pi_B$ , and assume the inductive hypothesis,  $w_1, w_2 \in Y$ . Thus  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are nonempty. We must show that  $0w_1w_2 \in Y$ . Clearly, diff $(0w_1w_2) = -1 + 1 + 1 = 1$ . So, suppose v is a proper prefix of  $0w_1w_2$ . We must show that diff  $v \leq 0$ . There are three cases to consider.
  - Suppose v = %. Then **diff**  $v = 0 \le 0$ .
  - Suppose v = 0u, for a proper prefix u of  $w_1$ . Because  $w_1 \in Y$ , we have that diff  $u \le 0$ . Thus diff  $v = -1 + \text{diff } u \le -1 + 0 \le 0$ .

• Suppose  $v = 0w_1u$ , for a proper prefix u of  $w_2$ . Because  $w_2 \in Y$ , we have that diff  $u \le 0$ . Thus diff  $v = -1 + 1 + \text{diff } u = \text{diff } u \le 0$ .

• The remaining productions are handled similarly.