### CS 516—Software Foundations via Formal Languages—Spring 2022

## Problem Set 2

# Due by 5pm on Friday, February 18 Submission via Gradescope and GitHub

### Problem 1 (60 points)

Define a function  $\mathbf{diff} \in \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{Z}$  by: for all  $w \in \{0,1\}^*,$ 

**diff** w = the number of 0's in w - 2(the number of 1's in w).

Thus:

- **diff** % = 0;
- **diff** 0 = 1;
- diff 1 = -2; and
- for all  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ,  $\operatorname{diff}(xy) = \operatorname{diff} x + \operatorname{diff} y$ .

And, diff w = 0 iff w has twice as many 0's as it has 1's. Let X be the least subset of  $\{0,1\}^*$  such that:

- (1)  $\% \in X$ ;
- (2) for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $0x0y1 \in X$ ;
- (3) for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $0x1y0 \in X$ ;
- (4) for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $1x0y0 \in X$ ; and
- (5) for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $xy \in X$ .

Let 
$$Y = \{ w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \mathbf{diff} \ w = 0 \}.$$

(a) Use induction on X to prove that  $X \subseteq Y$ .

[20 points]

(b) Use strong string induction to prove that  $Y \subseteq X$ . Your proof should be "constructive" in the sense that an algorithm for explaining why elements of Y are in X can be extracted from it. [40 points]

#### Problem 2 (40 points)

The context for this problem is Problem 1 and the Forlan/SML file ps2-framework.sml (see the course website). Among the definitions in this file are the following datatype and functions:

A value of type expl explains why a string is in X. The five constructors correspond to the five rules of X's definition. Rule1 explains that  $\% \in X$  because of rule (1) of X's definition. And, if  $expl_1$  and  $expl_2$  are values of expl, then:

- Rule2( $expl_1$ ,  $expl_2$ ) explains that  $0x_10x_21 \in X$  because of rule (2), where  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are the strings whose membership in X are explained by  $expl_1$  and  $expl_2$ , respectively;
- Rule3( $expl_1$ ,  $expl_2$ ) explains that  $0x_11x_20 \in X$  because of rule (3), where  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are the strings whose membership in X are explained by  $expl_1$  and  $expl_2$ , respectively;
- Rule4( $expl_1$ ,  $expl_2$ ) explains that  $1x_10x_20 \in X$  because of rule (4), where  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are the strings whose membership in X are explained by  $expl_1$  and  $expl_2$ , respectively; and
- Rule5 $(expl_1, expl_2)$  explains that  $x_1x_2 \in X$  because of rule (5), where  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are the strings whose membership in X are explained by  $expl_1$  and  $expl_2$ , respectively.

E.g.,

```
Rule5(Rule2(Rule1, Rule4(Rule1, Rule1)), Rule3(Rule1, Rule1))
explains why the string 001001010 is in X:

001001010 = 001001 @ 010 is in X, by rule (5)

001001 = 0 @ % @ 0 @ 100 @ 1 is in X, by rule (2)

% is in X, by rule (1)

100 = 1 @ % @ 0 @ % @ 0 is in X, by rule (4)

% is in X, by rule (1)

% is in X, by rule (1)

010 = 0 @ % @ 1 @ % @ 0 is in X, by rule (3)

% is in X, by rule (1)

% is in X, by rule (1)
```

The function printExplanation turns elements of expl into such human-readable explanations.

Your job is to define a function

```
val explain : str -> expl
```

that, when given an element w of Y, returns a value of type expl that explains why w is in X. (When called with a w that is not in Y, it doesn't matter what your function returns, or even whether it returns.)

As closely as possible, make the structure of your function definition match the structure of the proof you gave in Problem 1(b). In particular: induction in the proof should correspond to recursion in your function definition; division into cases in the proof should correspond to the use of conditionals/pattern matching in the function definition; and the proof and use of any lemma in the proof should correspond to the definition and use of an auxiliary function in your function definition.

You can test your definition of explain using the function test. If w is not in Y, then test explain w explains why w is not in Y. Otherwise it calls explain on w. If the resulting explanation explains why another string is in X, test notes that fact. Otherwise it calls printExplanation with the explanation. E.g., you can proceed as follows:

```
val doit = test explain;
doit(Str.fromString "%");
doit(Str.fromString "001");
doit(Str.fromString "000100011");
```

and so on.

Your solution should reside in a file called ps2-explain.sml. This file should not include—either textually or via a call to use—the contents of ps2-framework.sml. Instead, you should load (using use) ps2-framework.sml once at the beginning of a Forlan session.

#### Submission via Private GitHub Repository

So that you can privately submit Forlan/sml code in a machine-readable form, you will need to create a *private* GitHub repository and grant me (GitHub account: alleystoughton) access to it. If you don't already have a GitHub account, you will need to create one first. Your solution to ps2-explain.sml along with a copy of ps2-framework.sml should reside in a subdirectory CS516-PS2 of your repository. In your Gradescope submission, you should include a Forlan transcript showing how you tested your definition of explain. (You don't need to include a listing of ps2-explain.sml as part of your Gradescope submission.)